





# GOAL #3 MAXIMIZE ROM

May be the most poorly understood part of knee replacement



# ROM CRITERIA FOR ADL's

Level walking	65°	
Ascending stairs	85°	
Descending stairs	90°	
Rising from chair	100°	
Greater in short people!		



# THE STIFF TKA

What causes it?

How do you prevent it?

How do you treat it once it occurs?

# **STIFF TKA**

Preoperative Factors Intraoperative Factors Postoperative Factors Treatment Methods

# PREOPERATIVE FACTORS IN MAXIMIZING ROM

 <del>Realistic Expectations (surgeon and patient)</del>

 <del>Ritter</del>
 CORR 143

postop motion correlates with preop motion

– Parsley et al CORR 275

- patients with limited motion preop improve
- patients with > 105° lose motion in a PCR knee
- no difference in degenerative and inflammatory patients pre- and post-op ROM

# PREOP FACTORS – Red Flags



# PREOP FACTORS – Red Flags



# GOAL OF TALK

Preoperative Factors Intraoperative Factors Postoperative Factors

**Treatment Methods** 

### INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS IN MAXIMIZING ROM

- Factors directly under a surgeon's control
  - approach
  - ligament balancing
  - bone resection
  - prosthetic design
  - closure

#### INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS -APPROACH

- Mid vastus vs. median parapatellar
  - no significant difference
     Keating JAP Vol 14 #1
- Limit anterior dissection
  - ? association with heterotopic ossification
- keep debris out of suprapatella pouch



#### INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS -LIGAMENTOUS BALANCING

- May be most important intraoperative factor
- 1) must do a complete concave release to catch up with convex side or risk tightness on one side during the flexion arc



### INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS -LIGAMENTOUS BALANCING

- May be most important intraoperative factor
- must create a
- rectangular flexion gap or risk a "nutcracker" effect as the knee bends



### INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS – LIGAMENTOUS BALANCING

 May be most important intraoperative factor

# #3) must equalize the flexion an

- extension gaps
- The concept of leaving the flexion gap
  - loose to encourage ROM leads to

flexion instability



# INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS – BONE RESECTION

- Do not increase patellofemoral joint height
  - ↑patella thickness
  - reverse notch
- Leads to excessively tight
   extensor mechanism





#### INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS – BONE RESECTION

Do not increase
 patellofemoral joint height

 †patella thickness

- reverse notch

Leads to excessively tight
 extensor mechanism



### INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS – BONE RESECTION

Oversized femoral

component (i.e.) underresected posterior femur

 leads to overstuffed flexion gap and subsequent loss of ROM



#### INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS – BONE RESECTION

Undersized femoral component (i.e.) over-resected posterior femur

 leads to early tray/posterior femur impingement



# INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS – BONE RESECTION

#### Posterior osteophyte

<u>retention</u>

 leads to early tibial tray impingement and subsequent loss of ROM



#### INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS – BONE RESECTION

#### Inadequate proximal tibial

- resection
   modular tibial tray metal 3-5 mm. thick
- poly thicker than the F/E gap
- thin poly
- up to 10 mm. acceptable with regard to bone strength



# INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS – BONE RESECTION

- Femoral component extension
- Reverse tibial slope
  - limits flexion
- Excessive tibial slope
- Femoral component flexion
  - can lead to a flexion contracture





### INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS – BONE RESECTION

Inadequate distal femoral

resection

 lower joint line leads to flexion contracture



# INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS – PROSTHETIC DESIGN

PCS vs. PCR Hirsch CORR 309 PCS significantly greater

ROM than PCR





Range of Motion After Total Knee Arthroplasty The Effect of Implant Design and Weight-Bearing Conditions

Douglas A. Dennis, MD,\* Richard D. Komistek, PhD,\* James B. Stiehl, MD,+ Scott A. Walker,\* and Kendall N. Dennis\*

Journal of Arthroplasty, #13, 1998

Fluoroscopic study

- •PCS greater ROM than PCR
- •Paradoxical roll forward of PCR knees

# INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS – MAINTENANCE OF POSTERIOR OFFSI



# INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS - CLOSURE

Review of Literature

- Emerson CORR 368
   50 patients in each group
- extension vs. flexion closure
- 112º 117º
- same surgeon and prosthesis
- Masri CORR 331

– 0 significant difference

multiple surgeons and prostheses



# **INTRAOPERATIVE FACTORS**

Drop and dangle



# GOAL OF TALK

Preoperative Factors Intraoperative Factors Postoperative Factors Treatment Methods

# POSTOPERATIVE FACTORS IN MAXIMIZING ROM

- Formal physical therapy
- ♦ CPM
- Overuse syndrome
- Follow-up schedule

# POSTOPERATIVE FACTORS - PT

- → <u>Home physical therapy</u>
   advantage patient convenience
   disadvantage variable quality
- Office physical therapy
   advantage quality control
   equipment access
   disadvantage patient inconvenience

#### POSTOPERATIVE FACTORS – OVERUSE SYNDROME

- Usually Type AAA males
- Eager to return to work or play
- Physical therapy to excess
- Treatment immobilization NSAID's

# POSTOPERATIVE FACTORS – Follow-up Schedule

- Identify the patient with predisposition to stiffness
- Sometimes only evident postop
- Manipulate at 4-6 weeks

more intense P.T.

- + See all within 2 weeks of surgery problem patient routine patient
  - f/u at 5-6 wks postop
  - frequency of office visits

# GOAL OF TALK

**Preoperative Factors** 

**Intraoperative Factors** 

**Postoperative Factors** 

**Treatment Methods** 

# TREATMENT METHODS

- Manipulation
- Arthroscopic debridement
- Open debridement +/- revision of components

# TREATMENT METHODS -MANIPULATION

- "Timing is everything"
- Fibroblasts mature at 6-8 weeks
- Proper follow-up schedule is essential to time manipulation before six weeks

# TREATMENT METHODS -MANIPULATION

Literature Review

9 studies in world literature Only 1 prospective No recognised outcome score except KSS (two studies) Low complication rate Average ROM gained: 38.4 deg No adequate stats in 4 of 9 studies Beware osteoporotic patients Possible to re-MUA

# TREATMENT METHODS -MANIPULATION



# TREATMENT METHODS -MANIPULATION

Complications



 Fatal PE after manipulation Stecker JBJS, Jan. '96

# TREATMENT METHODS -MANIPULATION

#### Keys to avoidance

- early identification of problem patient
- early manipulation first 4-6 weeks "just do it"
- proper technique "A stiff knee is infinitely better than a patella tendon rupture or femoral fracture"

# TREATMENT METHODS -MANIPULATION

#### **Flexion Contracture**

- effective if done early and was correctable at time of surgery
- most minor flexion contractures stretch out in time
  - McPherson, JAP Vol 9, #5



# TREATMENT METHODS -MANIPULATION

Ways to hasten flexion contracture resolution

1. gait training heel toe



 patient must then stretch posterior capsule to get to the floor



# TREATMENT OPTIONS -MANIPULATION

#### Passive manipulation

- no data
- useful in late cases of recalcitrant flexion contractures or failed manipulations



Fehring et al., AAHKS, 2006

- + 14 patients > 15° flexion contracture
- 10/14 complete resolution
- ◆ 1 patient 5° FC
- Significant improvement
  - Extension p < 0.0001
  - Flexion p = 0.002
  - Total arc of motion p < 0.0001

# Predictive Risk Factors for Stiff Knees in TKA

1200 Knees 3.7% < 90°

### **Risk Factors**

- preop flexion
- intraop flexion
- patella baja pre- or post-op

# TREATMENT METHODS -ARTHROSCOPIC DEBRIDEMENT

Arthroscopic release of PCL for stiffness	
Williams CORR 331	
– 10 cases	
<ul> <li>average pre-op ROM 0-73</li> </ul>	
– average post-op ROM 0-112	
Arthroscopic treatment for arthrofibrosis	
Bocell CORR 271	
– 7 cases - 2 successes	
Sprague CORR 166	
<ul> <li>1 case - not successful</li> </ul>	

### TREATMENT METHODS – DEBRIDEMENT AND POLY EXCHANGE

Babis et al., JBJS 83A, 2001

- only 7 patients
- debridement and exchange to thinner poly
- very poor results
- mean ROM arc 58° (40°-70°)

# DEBRIDEMENT AND POLY EXCHANGE

Surgical Treatment and Customized Rehabilitation for Stiff Knee Arthroplasties

Michael A. Mont, MD; Thorsten M. Seyler, MD; German A. Marulanda, MD; Ronald E. Delanois, MD; and Anil Bhave, PT

#### 18 Knees

•aggressive PT postop 3-5 times/week
•functional bracing
•mean ↑ in ROM 31°
•only 2/3 had G/E Knee Society Scores

# TREATMENT METHODS – REVISION TKA

#### Nicholls and Dorr

- 12 patients <u>13 knees</u>
- 4 revised for flexion contracture
- 9 revised for ↓ ROM
- + 11 of 12 satisfied, yet ROM improved in only 3 patients

Christensen et al.

- 11 knees
- preop average ROM 39°
- postop average ROM 83°
  all patients satisfied

# TREATMENT METHODS – REVISION TKA

#### Kim et al

# al JBJS 86A, July 2004

- 56 knees complete revision in all
  - significant improvement in KS Clinical Score (p< 0.001)</li>
  - ♦ 93% ↑ motion
  - ♦ 66% ≥ 20° increase
  - mean ↑ 65 → 85°

# THE STIFF TKA



What causes it? How do you prevent it? How do you treat it once it occurs?

# **KEYS TO SUCCESS - AVOIDANCE**



1 Dans	1 C	
and a	12 /	
1	-	
1	-1-	
Contra Co		
aller		
Mar 1		
and the		
a		
Lie il neliodet		
Lie il nelcolor tache	Boarte Braylar	
Lie il neliodet	Boarte Braylar	10
Lie il nelcolor tache	thorte bright	Lo.
Lit il nelcular turbi	Tayate Taraçtar	
	F	







hank you

